Combination of Probiotics and *Salvia miltiorrhiza* Polysaccharide Alleviates Hepatic Steatosis via Gut Microbiota Modulation and Insulin Resistance Improvement in High Fat-Induced NAFLD Mice
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**Background:** Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) increases the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma, which is currently the leading cause of obesity-related cancer deaths in middle-aged men.

**Methods:** Probiotics with lipid-lowering function were screened from the fecal microbiota of healthy adults. Polysaccharide from different sources was screened for improving insulin resistance. The combination of probiotics and *Salvia miltiorrhiza* polysaccharide (LBM) was investigated for alleviating hepatic steatosis.

**Results:** First, *Bifidobacterium bifidum* V (BbV) and *Lactobacillus plantarum* X (LpX) were obtained from the fecal microbiota of healthy adults. Second, to improve insulin resistance, a *Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bunge polysaccharide showing good performance in reducing insulin resistance was obtained. The liver total cholesterol (TC) and total triglyceride (TG) levels and the serum levels of free fatty acid, alanine transaminase, aspartate transaminase, low density lipoprotein cholesterol, TG, and TC can be significantly reduced through supplementation with LpX-BbV (LB) in NAFLD mice. Interestingly, the function of the probiotic LB can be enhanced by *S. miltiorrhiza* Bunge polysaccharide. Furthermore, the gut microbiota was modulated by LpX-BbV+S. miltiorrhiza Bunge polysaccharide (LBM). The lipopolysaccharide concentration of the LBM group was decreased by 73.6% compared to the NAFLD group. Ultimately, the mRNA concentrations of the proinflammatory cytokines (tumor necrosis factor α, interleukin 1β [IL-1β], and IL-6) decreased with LB and LBM treatment.

**Conclusion:** The results of this study indicate that the LBM combination can be used as a therapeutic for ameliorating NAFLD via modulating the gut microbiota and improving insulin resistance.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is characterized by hepatic steatosis in the absence of a history of significant drinking (alcohol) or other known history liver diseases. NAFLD resembles alcohol-induced fatty liver damage, which can develop into nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and, eventually, hepatocellular carcinoma [1]. NAFLD is the most common cause of chronic liver disease worldwide. Approximately 20% to 30% of adults in developed countries suffer from NAFLD [2], and the prevalence of NAFLD in obese adults and those suffering from type 2 diabetes mellitus has in-
creased to 75% and 50% to 75%, respectively [3,4]. NAFLD is a multifactorial disease [5]. Inflammatory, environmental, metabolic, and various genetic factors and diets (the most important factor) have been considered in its pathogenesis. Up to 80% of obese people suffer from NAFLD disease [6,7]. NAFLD is a new challenge in the field of modern medicine. There are two routes to relieve NAFLD: one route is inhibiting triglyceride (TG) synthesis, and the other one is promoting the metabolism of TGs. Hepatic steatosis can be improved by inhibiting lipid synthesis and promoting fatty acid oxidation, especially β-oxidation [2].

NAFLD is an acquired metabolic stress-induced liver injury associated with insulin resistance and genetic susceptibility [8,9]. Endogenous ethanol [10], gut microbiota [5], and hepatic tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) [11] play important roles in the pathogenesis of NAFLD. Systemic inflammation can be induced by high-fat diets (HFDs); insulin resistance, weight gain, and nonalcoholic fatty liver can be caused by disturbed metabolic homeostasis and gut microbial derangements. In patients with fatty liver, intestinal bacterial overgrowth is common [12,13]. Intestinal permeability is associated with a fatty liver. The risk of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis can be increased by the increased intestinal permeability [14]. In addition, the bacterial endotoxin has been considered as a hepatotoxin [15], which is produced by gram-negative bacteria. Considerable evidence shows that the balance of gut microbiota and insulin resistance is considered a key role in the pathogenesis of NAFLD and NASH [5,16].

Fortunately, accumulating evidence has shown that probiotics are able to inhibit harmful bacteria and improve gastrointestinal barrier function [17]. In particular, various Lactobacillus isolates attenuated liver pathology via stabilization of the intestinal barrier and anti-inflammatory actions [18]. A study demonstrated that alanine transaminase (ALTase), aspartate transaminase (ASTase), TNF-α, and total cholesterol (TC) of NAFLD patients were reduced by Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, and Streptococcus [19]. Previous studies found that the serum lipid profiles can be influenced by Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium [20].

Probiotics should impart the ability to tolerate gastric acidity and bile toxicity, survive under stressed conditions of the intestine and have antimicrobial effects against pathogens [21]. In this study, we attempt to relieve NAFLD by blocking TG synthesis (or enhancing TG metabolism) and reducing insulin resistance. The probiotics from the fecal microbiota of healthy adults were screened for cholesterol and TG clearance. Moreover, polysaccharides were also screened for insulin resistance improvement. We aim to quickly establish methods for probiotic screening, and the effect of a probiotic combination (Lactobacillus plantarum+Bifidobacterium bifidum+polysaccharide) on the mitigation of NAFLD was examined.

**METHODS**

**Isolation of probiotics from fecal microbiota of healthy adults**

Samples were collected from fecal microbiota in healthy adults. To ensure general health, the vital signs of volunteers were assessed and inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) 20 to 30 years of age; (2) free of known gastrointestinal and metabolic diseases, no history of gastrointestinal or metabolic disorders; (3) avoid taking medications; (4) limit alcohol consumption; (5) agree to avoid any changes in chronic medications; (6) willing to fill out all necessary research questionnaires and donate stool specimens; and (7) voluntary signing of written informed consent prior to participation in the study. Stool samples were harvested in sterile transparent-glass tubes (20 mL) and stored at 4°C. Stools were diluted by 10^−4 to 10^−6 with sterilized saline. Then, 50-μL dilutions were spread onto the MRS (de MAN, ROGOSA and SHARPE) broth, and MRSP (MRS broth was supplemented with 1.0 g/L cholesterol) and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours anaerobically. Next, 200-μL cultures were mixed with 4,800 μL ethanol after incubation. Then, the cells were removed by centrifugation (4,500 rpm, 20 minutes) at 4°C. Next, 4 mL of supernatant was slowly added to 2 mL of ferric ammonium sulfate reagent (Aladdin, Shanghai, China) and mixed well. The absorbance of the supernatant was measured by a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) at 560 nm. The uninoculated samples were used as a negative control. Cholesterol clearance (CC) was calculated by the following formula:

CC = [(Ao−A)/Ao] × 100%, where Ao and A are the absorbance of uninoculated and inoculated samples, respectively.

**Probiotics and polysaccharide screening**

The cholesterol-lowering capability of the candidate strains determined by the ferric ammonium sulfate [22,23]. Briefly, isolates were inoculated (1%, v/v) into MRSC broth (MRS broth supplemented with 1.0 g/L cholesterol) and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours anaerobically. Next, 200-μL cultures were mixed with 4,800 μL ethanol after incubation. Then, the cells were removed by centrifugation (4,500 rpm, 20 minutes) at 4°C. Next, 4 mL of supernatant was slowly added to 2 mL of ferric ammonium sulfate reagent (Aladdin, Shanghai, China) and mixed well. The absorbance of the supernatant was measured by a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) at 560 nm. The uninoculated samples were used as a negative control. Cholesterol clearance (CC) was calculated by the following formula:
The TG-reducing activity was tested according to the Saravanan and Pommurugan [24] method. Isolates were inoculated (1%, v/v) into 25 mL of MRST broth (MRS broth supplemented with 1.0 g/L TG) and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. Samples cultured in MRS medium were used as a negative control. The supernatant of the cultures obtained by centrifugation (4,500 rpm, 20 minutes, 4°C) was saponified by adding 0.5 mL saponification reagent (50 g of potassium hydroxide, 400 mL isopropanol, 600 mL distilled water) followed by 0.5 mL of acetylacetone reagent (0.75 mL of acetylacetone, 40 mL of isopropanol, 60 mL distilled water). Evenly mixed mixtures were kept at 65°C for 1 hour in an oil bath and cooled. The blank control and a series of standard concentrations of triolein were treated using the same method, and absorbance at 420 nm was read: C×T = cholesterol reduction rate (%)×TG reduction rate (%).

Polysaccharides from *Dendrobium officinale*, *Talinum triangulare*, and other sources were prepared according to the report [25]. Briefly, the *D. officinale* or other medicinal plant powder (20 g) was boiled three times with 600 mL distilled water for 3 hours. The polysaccharide was obtained by ethanol precipitation. After an 8-hour fast, mice were injected with glucose (3 g/kg), and after 15 minutes of glucose loading, an equal amount of polysaccharides was given by intragastric administration for 4 weeks. After the last administration, all the mice were fasted strictly but allowed free access to water as usual for 4 hours. Blood was collected for measurement of fasting glucose and insulin levels 12 hours after the last polysaccharide administration.

An insulin enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (TSZ, San Francisco, CA, USA) was used to determine the fasting insulin (FINS). To select the polysaccharide with a good performance on reducing insulin resistance, the insulin sensitivity index (ISI) was calculated according to the following formulas: ISI = Ln [1/(FBG×FINS)] [26,27], where FBG was the level of fasting blood glucose (mg/dL) and FINS was the level of FINS (mIU/L). The index of insulin resistance was calculated by the following formulas [28]: homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) = FINS (mIU/L)×FBG (mmol/L)/22.5.

**Screening and identification of the candidate strains**

The effect of bile salts on the growth of these tested strains was carried out using a method described by Bao et al. [29]. After culturing in MRS broth at 37°C for 12 hours, the bacterial concentration was adjusted to 10⁸ colony-forming unit (CFU)/mL. Afterward, samples were inoculated into (1%, v/v) MRSB broth (MRS broth supplemented with 0.3% bile salts [oxgall]). Samples inoculated into MRS broth were used as controls. Strains were incubated anaerobically at 37°C for 24 hours. To monitor bacterial growth, the optical density (OD₆20nm) was measured with a spectrophotometer. Survival percentage (%) = S/S₀ ×100%, where S was the OD₆20nm of the MRSB culture and S₀ was the OD₆20nm of the MRSB culture (control). Genomic DNA of the strain was extracted and amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) according to the method of Huang et al. [30]. After cloning, the candidate strains were identified by DNA sequencing (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China) [31], and the sequence was compared to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database.

**Oral glucose tolerance test and insulin tolerance test**

Oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTTs) were measured at 0 to 6 weeks after treatments. Glucose load (2.0 g/kg of body weight) was conducted after 12 hours of fasting by oral gavage. After glucose administration, blood samples were collected at 0, 15, 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes. Concentrations of glucose were measured, and the total glucose area under the curve (AUCglucose) were calculated. The insulin tolerance test (ITT) was completed after 6 hours of fasting. Next, 1.5 IU/kg insulin was infused into mice, and glucose was measured intraperitoneally. The constant rate for glucose disappearance during the ITT (KITT) was calculated using the formula 0-693/t½, where t½ was calculated from the slope of the least square analysis of the blood glucose levels during the linear decay phase of decline.

**Mice and treatments**

Forty male C57BL/6N mice (pathogen-free) were purchased from the Shanghai Laboratory Animal Center (Shanghai, China). The average initial body weight of the mice was approximately 18.5 g. The mice were housed in clean, sterile, polyprene cages under controlled conditions with a room temperature of 20°C to 24°C and a cycle of 12/12 hours light-dark. Moreover, the experimental group and the control group were housed under the same conditions. During the first week, all mice were fed the same normal diet (ND). Afterward, the mice were randomly divided into four groups (n=10/group). The ND group received the normal diet, while the HFD group received the HFD diet; the HFD+(L. plantarum K [LpX]-B. bifidum V [BbV] [LB]) group received an HFD diet supplemented with LB via intragastric administration, and the HFD+(LpX-
**Measurement of biochemical parameters in the serum and liver**

The mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. The mice’s liver homogenate (1 g of liver tissue and 10 mL of sterilizing saline) was added into Folch solution (chloroform: formaldehyde = 2:1 [v/v]), mixed well and centrifuged (4,500 × g, 5 minutes). The supernatant of the liver was collected. The levels of high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and TC, TG and ASTase activity and ALTase activity were determined using test kits (Biosino Biotechnology and Science Inc., Beijing, China). The concentrations of acetate and butyrate were analyzed by Agilent 1260 Infinity high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC; Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) according to the report of Adler et al. [32].

**Reverse-transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction**

Total RNA of the collected tissue was extracted by using a TranZol RNA Extraction Kit (Trans Gen Biotech, Beijing, China). Afterwards, cDNA was obtained from the total RNA by reverse transcription using a cDNA synthesis kit (Takara Bio, Dalian, China). Interleukin 1β (IL-1β), TNF-α, and IL-6 were completed by using SYBR premix from Takara Bio. Primer sequences used for mRNA quantification are presented in Supplementary Table 1.

Sequences of the primers used in the lipid metabolism gene detection are presented in Supplementary Table 2. Fecal DNA was isolated using the QIAmp DNA stool mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Quantitative PCR analysis was performed using different genus- and phyla-specific primers for different bacterial groups according to the Kump et al. [33].

**Statistical analysis**

The software package SPSS version 13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for data analysis. All data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation, and statistical significance was performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by least significant difference for multiple comparisons. P values less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Screening of probiotics from the fecal microbiota of healthy adults**

High levels of cholesterol and TGs were associated with the formation of hyperlipidemia [34]. To obtain the strain with a highly effective lipid-lowering function, 47 candidates isolated from fecal samples were examined. The results are shown in Fig. 1A. Isolate V exhibited the highest activity on TG clearance (68% TG clearance, 25% CC). The IX isolate showed the highest activity on CC. Interestingly, isolate X exhibited outstanding performance, with cholesterol and TG clearance of 57% and 53%, respectively. The selected candidate isolates (X, IX, V) were cultured in the simulated gastric fluid. Fortunately, the survival rates of isolates V and X were up to 87.4% and 78.6%, respectively. However, the IX isolates had relatively low bile resistance (survival rates <20%). Meanwhile, Δlag phase of the tested isolates was investigated. The results showed that the growth of isolates X was slower than that of isolates V with a long Δlag phase of 2.1 hours (Fig. 1B). Based on the 16S rDNA sequence identification, strain V, X was B. bifidum and L. plantarum, respectively. To enhance the performances of the strains synergistically, the ratio of LB was optimized in vitro. The result showed that the cholesterol-TG clearance rate was up to the highest with a C×T value of 0.47, while the ratio of LB was 1:2 (Fig. 1C).

Insulin resistance is a cardinal feature of NAFLD [35]. Insulin resistance plays an important role during the development
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Fig. 1. (A) Strains screening. (B) The survival rate of three isolates in MRSB broth (MRS [de MAN, ROGOSA and SHARPE] broth containing 0.3% bile salts). (C) Effect of the ratio of Lactobacillus plantarum to Bifidobacterium bifidum on cholesterol-triglyceride reduction. (D) Effect of different polysaccharides on insulin resistance. (E) Effect of Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge polysaccharide (M) dose on the insulin sensitivity index (ISI). The changes in (F) body weight and (G) food intake for 6 weeks. Food intake was estimated using the following formulas: Food intake = (initial weight of food provided – the final weight of food recovered [g]).

MRSB was MRS broth supplemented with 0.3% bile salts after 3 hours at 37°C. The ∆lag phase was calculated as the time needed to increase by 0.5 absorbance units at 620 nm in MRSB minus the time requirement in MRS broth according to Ding et al. [37]. C×T = cholesterol reduction rate (%) × triglyceride reduction rate (%).

The ISI was calculated according to the following formulas: ISI = Ln [1/(FBG × FINS)], where FBG was the level of fasting blood glucose (mmol/L) and FINS was the level of fasting insulin (mU/L). All measurements were taken in triplicate, and experiments were repeated three times to evaluate the standard deviation. LB, Lactobacillus plantarum X (LpX)-Bifidobacterium bifidum V (BbV); LBM, LpX-BbV+Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge polysaccharide; L. barbarum, Lycium barbarum; P. linteus, Phellinus linteus; M. charantia L., Momordicacharantia L.; C. militaris, Cordyceps militaris; A. membranaceus, Astragalus membranaceus (Fisch.) Bunge; S. miltiorrhiza, S. miltiorrhiza Bunge. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 indicates statistically significant differences compared with the high-fat diet (HFD) group (control), *P < 0.01 indicates statistically significant differences compared with the normal diet (ND) group (control).
of NAFLD and progression to NASH [36]. To increase insulin sensitivity, polysaccharides derived from different sources were screened. The results showed that most of the tested polysaccharides can improve insulin resistance. In particular, compared to the other sources, the *S. miltiorrhiza* Bunge polysaccharide showed good performance with an ISI value of −4.26 was selected to reduce insulin resistance (Fig. 1D). Next, 50 mg/kg/day *S. miltiorrhiza* Bunge polysaccharide was observed to improve insulin resistance (Fig. 1E).

### Effects of probiotic combination (LBM) on body index

After HFD-induced treatment, the liver weight and body weight in the HFD group mice were significantly higher than those fed the ND. However, after LB or LBM treatment, a reduction in body weight was observed (Table 1, Fig. 1F). The total body weight of the LB group and LBM group was reduced by 12.5% and 21.5%, respectively, compared to the HFD mouse models. This weight loss was not related to reduced food intake (Fig. 1G) [37]. In addition, compared to the HFD group, a significant reduction of the liver was observed in the HFD+LB group. Moreover, *S. miltiorrhiza* Bunge polysaccharide contributed to reducing the liver index values of HFD groups; however, the promoting effect was not significant (Table 1). The liver TC levels and liver TG levels were increased in the HFD group compared to the control group. In contrast, the liver TC and TG levels can be decreased by LB (1:2) in mice fed a HFD (Fig. 2A and B). The lipids can be reduced by LB or LBM, where the increased total short-chain fatty acid concentration (the metabolism products of lipids) in cecal content has been observed (Fig. 2D). After 6 weeks of HFD consumption, the mice showed increased glucose blood levels and insulin resistance. After LB or LBM treatment, the blood glucose levels were reduced (close to the control group), and the insulin resistance was improved. Compared to the HFD group, HOMA-IR in the LBM group was reduced by 66.3%, LB has the potential to reduce insulin resistance and improve insulin sensitivity (Fig. 2E, F, and J). In addition, NAFLD mice fed with LB (1:2) promoted excretion of cholesterol and total bile acid (Fig. 2C). Insulin sensitivity, as determined by the glucose disappearance rate (KITT) (Fig. 2I), ISI, or HOMA-IR (Fig. 2F and J), was decreased in HFD group mice and showed improvement after LB or LBM treatment. In the OGTT, the blood glucose increased to a maximum after 30 minutes of oral administration of glucose in all groups (Fig. 2G and H). This increased blood glucose in the HFD group was significantly decreased after administration of LBM (Fig. 2G). The inhibitory effect of LB on blood glucose elevation by HFD treatment has been clearly reflected by the AUC glucose values (Fig. 2H).

### Effects of probiotic combination (LBM) on lipid profiles

The results showed that the serum TC, low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and TG levels of the HFD group decreased significantly by treatment with probiotic LB (1:2) (Table 2). Compared to the ND group, the HDL level decreased significantly in the HFD group. In contrast, the HDL level of HFD-treated mice increased when supplemented with LB (1:2). Furthermore, serum free fatty acid (FFA), ALTase, ASTase, LDL-C, TG and TC levels in the HFD group were reduced by supplementation with LB significantly.

### Effect of combination (LBM) on gut microbiota

The relative abundance of gut microbiota at the phylum and genus levels was investigated. For the ND group, *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* (with 80% to 90% abundance) were the main

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>BW gain, g</th>
<th>Liver weight, mg</th>
<th>Liver/BW, mg/g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>7.92±0.42</td>
<td>1,030.00±20.1</td>
<td>38.66±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND+LB</td>
<td>7.88±0.35</td>
<td>1,029.00±18.5</td>
<td>38.76±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND+LBM</td>
<td>7.82±0.27</td>
<td>1,031.00±19.0</td>
<td>39.05±0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFD</td>
<td>18.50±0.88</td>
<td>2,110.00±35</td>
<td>56.72±2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFD+LB</td>
<td>14.00±0.49</td>
<td>1,324.00±8.5</td>
<td>40.68±0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFD+LBM</td>
<td>10.55±0.43</td>
<td>1,128.00±6.5</td>
<td>38.64±0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. Liver index (liver/BW)=liver weight/final BW.

| Table 1. Body weight gain, liver weight, and liver index of mice in four groups |

BW, body weight; ND, normal diet; LB, *Lactobacillus plantarum* X (LpX)-*Bifidobacterium bifidum* V (BbV); LBM, LpX-BbV+*Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bunge polysaccharide; HFD, high-fat diet.
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**Fig. 2.** Liver (A) total cholesterol (TC) and (B) triglyceride (TG) levels in mice with different treatments. (C) Fecal TC of the four groups at the end of feeding period, mice orally fed high-fat diet (HFD) supplemented with a mixture of *L. plantarum*-B. *bifidum* (1:2) and *Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bunge polysaccharide. (D) Total short-chain fatty acid concentration (the metabolized products of lipids) in cecal content. (E) Serum insulin; (F) fasting blood glucose (FBG). (G) Oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) at 6 weeks after treatment and (H) the area under the curve (AUC) of OGTT at 6 weeks. (I) The glucose disappearance rate during the insulin tolerance test (KITT). (J) Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR). Insulin sensitivity index (ISI) =–ln (FPG×fasting insulin [FINS]). HOMA-IR=FINS (mIU/L)×FBG (mmol/L)/22.5. Data are expressed as the mean±standard deviation. ND, normal diet; LB, *Lactobacillus plantarum* X (LpX)-*Bifidobacterium bifidum* V (BbV); LBM, LpX-BbV+Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge polysaccharide. *p<0.05, *p<0.01.
members of the gut bacteria community presenting in the feces at the phylum level. Moreover, compared to the ND group, the abundance of Cyanobacteria was increased by 68.75% in the HFD group (Fig. 3A). After administering the probiotic combination (LBM), the abundance of Cyanobacteria in HFD-fed mice was reduced.

Moreover, the ratio of Bacteroidetes/Firmicutes in the HFD group decreased by 42.6% compared to the ND group. However, the ratio of Bacteroidetes/Firmicutes was increased by 14.3% and 34.04% relative to the HFD group via administration of probiotics LB and LBM, respectively. At the genus level, the expression profiles of each group (16 highly abundant species >1.0% abundance), including the abundance of Bacteroides, Lactobacillus, Parabacteroides, Oscillospira, and Alistipes, were analyzed (Fig. 3B). Of those species, the abundance of Bacteroides, Lactobacillus, and Parabacteroides was increased by treatment with LB probiotics, while the Oscillospira and Alistipes were decreased by LBM.

### Effects of probiotic combination (LBM) on endotoxin and liver inflammation

The concentrations of acetate, butyrate, and LPS were investigated. The total concentrations of acetate and butyrate were significantly reduced by 81.7% and 80% in the HFD groups compared to the ND group. The acetate and butyrate concentrations of NAFLD mice were increased by supplementation with LBM (Fig. 4A and B). Moreover, the HFD group with a high LPS concentration was significantly reduced by probiotic (LB). Moreover, the ability of probiotic LB was enhanced by S. miltiorrhiza Bunge polysaccharide, the LPS concentration of LB group (0.23 endotoxin unit [EU]/mL) decreased by 73.6% compared to the HFD group (0.87 EU/mL); however, the difference between LB and LBM is not significant (P > 0.05) (Fig. 4C).

Based on the changes in short-chain organic acid concentrations and gut microbial abundance in HFD-treated mice, we were trying to reveal the global influence of LBM on liver inflammation. Inflammatory markers (TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6) of the liver were investigated. The results showed that inflam-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>TG, mg/dL</th>
<th>TC, mg/dL</th>
<th>LDL-C, mg/dL</th>
<th>HDL-C, mg/dL</th>
<th>ALTase, U/L</th>
<th>ASTase, U/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>92.9±1.0</td>
<td>84.7±0.58</td>
<td>25.9±0.15</td>
<td>57.5±0.6</td>
<td>26.3±0.5</td>
<td>55.1±1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFD</td>
<td>115.1±2.1</td>
<td>168.5±3.2</td>
<td>65.5±0.82</td>
<td>25.9±2.1</td>
<td>83.5±2.5</td>
<td>128.5±12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFD+LB</td>
<td>104.7±1.5</td>
<td>114.3±2.5</td>
<td>39.8±0.40</td>
<td>55.8±1.7</td>
<td>34.9±1.2</td>
<td>68.2±2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFD+LBM</td>
<td>101.2±1.5</td>
<td>100.1±1.2</td>
<td>38.2±0.25</td>
<td>62.7±2.4</td>
<td>23.8±1.1</td>
<td>62.5±1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

TG, triglyceride; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; ALTase, alanine transaminase; ASTase, aspartate transaminase; ND, normal diet; HFD, high-fat diet; LB, Lactobacillus plantarum X (LpX)-Bifidobacterium bifidum V (BbV); LBM, LpX-BbV+Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge polysaccharide.

**Fig. 3.** Effect of probiotic combination (LBM) on gut microbiota in the intestines of tested mice. (A) The relative abundance of four groups at the phyla level and (B) the relative abundance of four groups at the genus level. ND, normal diet; HFD, high-fat diet; LB, Lactobacillus plantarum X (LpX)-Bifidobacterium bifidum V (BbV); LBM, LpX-BbV+Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge polysaccharide.
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Inflammatory markers were modulated by the probiotic combination (LBM). Compared to the HFD group, the mRNA concentrations of cytokines (TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6) were reduced by LB and LBM (Fig. 4D). Interestingly, the TNF-α concentration in the LBM group was significantly lower than that in the ND group.

The effect of LBM on lipid metabolism was investigated (Fig. 4E), and the results are shown in Fig. 4F. In the way of de novo synthesis, increased hepatic expression of the four genes (acetyl-CoA carboxylase [ACC], fatty acid synthase [FAS], stearoyl-CoA desaturase-1; PPARα, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-α; CPT-1a, carnitine palmitoyltransferase-1a. *P<0.05, **P<0.01.

Fig. 4. Effect of probiotic combination LBM on the concentration of short-chain organic acids and endotoxin (lipopolysaccharide [LPS]) in cecal content. (A) Levels of butyrate in cecal content, (B) levels of acetate in cecal content, (C) LPS, (D) mRNA expression of inflammatory genes in the liver of mice. (E, F) Effect of LBM on the expression of the beginning synthesis genes and lipid oxidation genes. Relative mRNA levels are expressed as a ratio relative to β-actin. Values are expressed as the mean±standard deviation. ND, normal diet; HFD, high-fat diet; LB, Lactobacillus plantarum X (LpX)-Bifidobacterium bifidum V (BbV); LBM, LpX-BbV+Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge polysaccharide; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor α; IL-1β, interleukin 1β; IL-6, interleukin 6; FAS, fatty acid synthase; ACC, acetyl-CoA carboxylase; SREBP-1c, sterol regulatory element binding protein 1c; SCD-1, stearoyl-CoA desaturase-1; PPARα, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-α; CPT-1a, carnitine palmitoyltransferase-1a. *P<0.05, **P<0.01.
yl-CoA desaturase-1 [SCD-1], and sterol regulatory element binding protein 1c [SREBP-1c]) in the HFD group was observed compared with the ND group. The hepatic expression of ACC, FAS, and SREBP-1c was clearly reduced after LBM treatment. The change in SCD-1 gene expression between the HFD group and the HFD supplemented with LB or LBM group was not significant ($P>0.05$). Compared to the HFD group, a significant decrease in the hepatic expression of SREBP-1c was induced by LBM ($P<0.01$) (Fig. 4E and F). Regarding oxidation metabolism, genes associated with fatty acid oxidation (peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-α [PPARα] and carnitine palmitoyltransferase-1a [CPT-1a]) showed a significant improvement with the help of LBM ($P<0.05$) (Fig. 4F).

**DISCUSSION**

Blood cholesterol can be reduced by various strains of *Lactobacilli* and *Bifidobacteria*, which is safe for consumption, such as *Lactobacilli rhamnosus*, *L. paracasei*, *L. casei*, and *L. plantarum* [38,39]. Fecal microbiota transplantation has been used for the treatment of *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhea and pseudomembranous colitis [40], which highlighted a disease improvement or resolution rate of 83% to 92% [41]. Therefore, the fecal microbiota of healthy adults was selected for the screening of probiotics (*Lactobacillus*), and most of the isolates performed a high CC capability in this study.

In addition, insulin resistance has been regarded as a key factor in the pathogenesis of metabolic syndrome [11]. Hepatocyte injury and inflammation could be promoted by hepatic insulin resistance [16,42]. It has been reported that different sources of polysaccharides (such as *Ganoderma lucidum*) could improve insulin resistance by regulating gut microbiota composition and inflammatory cytokines [43]. In the present study, different polysaccharides were screened, and the insulin sensitivity was improved by polysaccharides from *S. miltiorrhiza* Bunge, which was the outstanding performer among the tested polysaccharides. Finally, the FFA, ALTase, ASTase, LDL-C, TG and TC levels of HFD-treated mice in serum were significantly reduced by LB (1:2). The function of the probiotic LB was enhanced by *S. miltiorrhiza* Bunge polysaccharide. In previous research, polysaccharides have been used to reduce IL-6, IL-1β, and TNF-α concentrations in plasma [43]. TNF-α expression and hepatic inflammation can be reduced by *L. rhamnosus* and other probiotics [44]. Our research showed that the expression levels of TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 can be reduced by LBM; we speculate that it was the result of the synergy between *L. plantarum*-B. *bifidum* and *S. miltiorrhiza* Bunge. Furthermore, it was shown that the composition of the intestinal microbiota can be regrouped by LBM in this study. The abundance of *Cyanobacteria* in HFD-fed mice was reduced by administering LBM. Previous studies indicated that microbiota composition is related to insulin resistance. Increased *Cyanobacteria* (gram-negative bacteria) have been demonstrated as contributors to increased LPS levels, and all types of *Cyanobacteria* can produce various toxins, which are involved in various human diseases via increasing the hepatic damage triggered by hepatotoxins [45]. Moreover, we found that *Bacteroidetes*/*Firmicutes* can be increased by LB and LBM. Numerous studies have suggested that *Firmicutes*/*Bacteroidetes* ratios are a compositional biomarker for obesity [46] and type 1 diabetes mellitus [47]. Moreover, fecal extracts of ulcerative colitis patients were characterized by reduced levels of butyrate, acetate, methylamine, and trimethylamine in comparison with a control population [48,49]. The acetate and butyrate concentrations of NAFLD mice were increased by supplementation LBM in this study. This result was consistent with the report of Turnbaugh et al. [49] and de Wit et al. [50]. Therefore, LBM combination has the potential to be used as a therapeutic for ameliorating NAFLD via modulation of the gut microbiota and improvement of insulin resistance.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

Supplementary materials related to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.4093/dmj.2019.0042.
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**Supplementary Table 1. Primers used for mRNA detection**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Forward (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Reverse (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>AAGCCTGTAGCCCACGTC</td>
<td>CACCACACACTGCTTTGCTGTTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>GCCCATCCTCTGTGACTCAT</td>
<td>AGGCCACAGGTATTTTGTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>ACCACATCCCATGCCCATCAC</td>
<td>TCCACACCCCTGGCTGGCTGTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>GATGGATGCTACAAAACCTGA</td>
<td>AGCATGGAAATTGGGGA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relative mRNA levels were normalized to the GAPDH level.
TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor α; IL-1β, interleukin 1β; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; IL-6, interleukin 6.
**Supplementary Table 2.** Sequences of the primers used in the lipid metabolism gene detection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward (5´-3´)</th>
<th>Reverse (5´-3´)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SREBP-1c</td>
<td>GCGGAGCCATGGATTGCAC</td>
<td>CTCTTCCTTGATACCAGGGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAS</td>
<td>AGCTGCCAGAGTCGGAGAAC</td>
<td>TGTAGCCACAGGTGCTCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPT-1a</td>
<td>TGACCGACTGGTGGGAGGAG</td>
<td>GAGCCACCTTGAAGTAGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCD-1</td>
<td>TGTCCCTATCATGCGAACAC</td>
<td>GCCAGGCCAGAGTGATCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPARα</td>
<td>CCAGTATAAGGAGTGCCTCT</td>
<td>GTTGTGACATCCCGACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>GGCAGAATGCTATGAGAT</td>
<td>AGGGTGAAGTCTGCTCCCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Actin</td>
<td>GCAAGAGGAGGCAATCCTCACC</td>
<td>CGTAGATGGGACAGTGGG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SREBP-1c, sterol regulatory element binding protein 1c; FAS, fatty acid synthase; CPT-1a, carnitine palmitoyltransferase-1a; SCD-1, stearoyl-CoA desaturase-1; PPARα, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-α; ACC, acetyl-CoA carboxylase.